

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usptb.egov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/756,933	01/09/2001	Arie Kuehn	NL 000008	6766		
24737	7590 04/20/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			CRAVER, CHARLES R			
P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2682	10		
		DATE MAILED: 04/20/2004				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application I	No.	Applicant(s)	-				
Office Action Summary		09/756,933		KUEHN, ARIE					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Charles R Cra	aver	2682					
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communical Reply	tion appears on the co	ver sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
THE M - Extensi after SI - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA ions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communice riod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) de reply within the set or extended period for reply will, oly received by the Office later than three months after patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, cation. ays, a reply within the statutory by period will apply and will ex by statute, cause the application.	however, may a reply be tin y minimum of thirty (30) day pire SIX (6) MONTHS from ion to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this or D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)□ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	on 30 January 2004.							
·									
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
c	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositio	n of Claims								
4)⊠ C	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
4:									
5)[C	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ (Claim(s) <u>1-7,9-16 and 18-20</u> is/are rejected.								
7)⊠ (Claim(s) <u>8 and 17</u> is/are objected to.								
8)□ (Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicatio	n Papers								
9)⊠ T	he specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.							
10)⊠ T	The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 January 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
A	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
F	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)□ T	he oath or declaration is objected to by	y the Examiner. Note	the attached Office	Action or form P7	O-152.				
Priority un	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)⊠ 1 2 3	cknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority doc Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International of the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been re cuments have been re the priority documents Bureau (PCT Rule 1	eceived. eceived in Applicati s have been receive 7.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage				
Attachment(s	s)								
	of References Cited (PTO-892)		Interview Summary						
3) 🔲 Informa	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO No(s)/Mail Date	O/SB/08) 5)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other:)-152)				

Art Unit: 2682

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a).
- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.

"Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (i) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Application/Control Number: 09/756,933 Page 3

Art Unit: 2682

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the specification does not have adequate headings as shown above.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-7, 9-16 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Akiyama et al, EP 0 696 852.

Claims 1, 2, 10 and 11: Akiyama discloses a transmission system for transmitting a signal from a transmitter to a receiver (FIG 1), the receiver comprising an interference absorption circuit (FIG 2) which detects interference components in the signal and removes them during a time interval which may depend on and be equal to the interference component duration (col 4 lines 3-31 and line 58-col 5 line 12).

Claim 3: Akiyama discloses that the circuit comprises an input, detection means (3, 8) and removal means (4) for removing the interference (col 4 lines 3-31), the output of the detection means coupled to the input of the removal means (FIG 2).

Claims 4 and 5: Akiyama discloses that part of the detection means (8) generates and outputs an interference presence signal indicative of the presence of interference, based on the duration of the interference, for removing the interference

Art Unit: 2682

Ł

components from the signal (col 4 lines 37-57). Claim 6: Akiyama discloses timing means (15). Claim 7: Akiyama further discloses a pulse timer (13) connected to the timer means for receiving a number of pulses based on the timing of the interference (col 4 lines 12-24). Claim 9: Akiyama further discloses delay means (14).

Claim 12: claim 12 recites the inherent method performed by the physical apparatus of claim 1, and as such is rejected for the same reasons set forth above.

Claim 13: Akiyama discloses that the duration may be the same as that of the interference (col 4 lines 3-31 and line 58-col 5 line 12). Claims 14 and 15: please see the rejection of claims 4 and 5 above. Claim 16: please see the rejection of claim 7 above. Claim 18: please see the rejection of claim 9 above. Claim 19: please see the rejection of claim 3 above. Claim 20: please see the rejection of claims 4 and 5 above.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 8 and 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Claims 8 and 17 teach towards a transmission system and method for transmitting a signal from a transmitter to a receiver comprising an interference absorption circuit which detects interference components in the signal and removes them during a time interval which may depend on and be equal to the interference

Art Unit: 2682

component duration wherein the detection or removal modules may be disabled if the repetition rate of the interference components is too high.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 1-30-04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding Akiyama, the examiner supports the rejection above off e.g. claim 1 under 35 USC 102. First, note that Akiyama discloses that the gating period of the noise canceler is based on the detection of an interference level above a critical threshold, see col 4 lines 41-57 and lines 12-22. As such, the gating period is dependent on the presence of interference for a given amount of time.

Conclusion

7. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703) 872-9314 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Art Unit: 2682

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington VA, sixth floor (receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles Craver whose telephone number is (703) 305-3965.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin, can be reached on (703) 308-6739.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is

(703) 305-4700.

CC

CHARLES CRAVER

C. Craver 19 April 2004